

2.4 A Theorem About Monte Carlo Approximation of Products of Means

Let X_{j1}, \dots, X_{jm} , $j = 1, \dots, J$ be $J \geq 2$ sequences of independent random variables, each of length m . For each j , X_{j1}, \dots, X_{jm} are identically and independently distributed with $\mathbb{E}X_{ji} = \mu_j$. Suppose that we are interested in estimating $\prod_{j=1}^J \mu_j$. Then the two estimators

$$\bar{\mu} = \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^J X_{ji}$$

are both unbiased for $\prod_{j=1}^J \mu_j$, but $\text{Var}(\bar{\mu}) \leq \text{Var}(\tilde{\mu})$, with strict inequality holding when the X_{ji} are non-degenerate random variables for at least two $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$.

PROOF: Unbiasedness is straightforward to show:

$$\mathbb{E}(\bar{\mu}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_{ji}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}X_{ji} = \prod_{j=1}^J \mu_j \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\mu}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^J X_{ji}\right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{E}X_{ji} = \prod_{j=1}^J \mu_j. \quad (2.12)$$

To show that $\text{Var}(\bar{\mu}) \leq \text{Var}(\tilde{\mu})$, it will suffice to show that $\mathbb{E}\bar{\mu}^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mu}^2$, because the squares of the expected values of each estimator will be equal and $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}X^2 - [\mathbb{E}X]^2$. We start by simplifying the expression for $\mathbb{E}\bar{\mu}^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\bar{\mu}^2 &= \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_{ji}\right)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_{ji}\right)^2\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m^2} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_{ji}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k < i} X_{ji} X_{jk}\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k < i} \mu_j^2\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m^2} \left(m \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 + m(m-1) \mu_j^2\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{m} \left(\mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 + (m-1)[\mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 - \text{Var}(X_{ji})]\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^J \left(\mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 - \frac{(m-1)}{m} \text{Var}(X_{ji}) \right).$$

We know that $\text{Var}(X_{ji}) \leq \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2$, so we may write $\text{Var}(X_{ji}) = \delta_j \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2$, where $0 \leq \delta_j \leq 1$.

Doing so, we may now rewrite $\mathbb{E}\bar{\mu}^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\bar{\mu}^2 &= \prod_{j=1}^J \left(\mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 - \frac{(m-1)\delta_j}{m} \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^J \left([(1-\delta_j) + \delta_j/m] \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^J \left[(1-\delta_j) + \delta_j/m \right] \prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

In similar fashion, we simplify the expression for $\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mu}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mu}^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^J X_{ji} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{j=1}^J X_{ji} \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k < i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^J X_{ji} X_{jk} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^J X_{ji}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k < i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^J \mu_j^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 + m(m-1) \prod_{j=1}^J \mu_j^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left(\prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 + (m-1) \prod_{j=1}^J [\mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 - \text{Var}(X_{ji})] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left(\prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 + (m-1) \prod_{j=1}^J [\mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2 - \delta_j \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2] \right) \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{m} + \frac{(m-1)}{m} \prod_{j=1}^J (1-\delta_j) \right] \prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{E}X_{ji}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

And so, inspecting (2.13) and (2.14) it is clear that $\text{Var}(\bar{\mu}) \leq \text{Var}(\tilde{\mu})$ if and only if

$$\prod_{j=1}^J \left[(1-\delta_j) + \delta_j/m \right] \leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{(m-1)}{m} \prod_{j=1}^J (1-\delta_j), \tag{2.15}$$

an inequality which may be verified as follows: note that $\prod_{j=1}^J [(1 - \delta_j) + \delta_j] = 1$, but the product may be written as a sum

$$\prod_{j=1}^J [(1 - \delta_j) + \delta_j] = \prod_{j=1}^J (1 - \delta_j) + \phi = 1$$

where ϕ is a sum of $2^J - 1$ terms, each of the form

$$\prod_{j \in A} \delta_j \prod_{j \in A^c} (1 - \delta_j)$$

where A is a non-empty subset of $\{1, \dots, J\}$, and A^c is its complement. Observe then,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^J (1 - \delta_j) + \phi &= 1 \\ \frac{1}{m} \prod_{j=1}^J (1 - \delta_j) + \frac{\phi}{m} &= \frac{1}{m} \\ \frac{\phi}{m} &= \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m} \prod_{j=1}^J (1 - \delta_j) \\ \prod_{j=1}^J (1 - \delta_j) + \frac{\phi}{m} &= \frac{1}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m} \prod_{j=1}^J (1 - \delta_j). \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The right side of (2.16) is the same as the right side of (2.15), so to prove the inequality in (2.15), we need merely demonstrate that

$$\prod_{j=1}^J \left[(1 - \delta_j) + \delta_j/m \right] \leq \prod_{j=1}^J (1 - \delta_j) + \frac{\phi}{m}.$$

This may be done by noting that the left side expands into

$$\prod_{j=1}^J (1 - \delta_j) + \varphi$$

where φ is a sum of $2^J - 1$ terms, each of which has the form

$$\prod_{j \in A} \frac{\delta_j}{m^z} \prod_{j \in A^c} (1 - \delta_j)$$

where A and A^c are as above and z is the number of elements in the set A . (Since A is non-empty, $1 \leq z \leq J$.) For $m > 1$, each such term is clearly less than or equal to the corresponding term in the sum for ϕ/m so $\varphi \leq \phi/m$. Transparently, the equality holds only when all but one of the δ_j are zero. QED.